

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/755,868	KALEVO, OSS Art Unit 2624	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

(1) AKLILU k. WOLDEMARIAM.

(3) _____.

(2) KEITH R. OBERT.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 September 2008
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner suggested to amend of claim 1 to over come 112 first paragraph new matter and second paragraph indefinite rejection. for allowance and for the same reason, remaining independent claims. Applicant's represetative said " inventors declined Examiner's suggestion."

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/AKLILU WOLDEMARIAM./

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

